

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/885,350	STALL, JEFFREY E.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter-Anthony Pappas	2671	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Pending

 (1) Peter-Anthony Pappas.

(3) _____.

 (2) Gary Kindness.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 May 2005
Time: 2 PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPZ Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was asked to clarify the definition of a "anti-transformation." Applicant explained that an "anti-transformation" is any transformation used to return an object to its original state. It was suggested to the Applicant that the language "anti-transformation", in claim 1, be replaced with "inverse transform" for the sake of clarity. Applicant agreed. Applicant suggested amending the specification to clarify that an "anti-transformation" was the same as an "inverse transformation". The Office agreed.